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## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

## ROCKWELL AUTOMATION, INC.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET (Unaudited) (in millions)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September 30, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| Current assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 967.1 | \$ | 903.9 |
| Short-term investments |  | 363.6 |  | 350.0 |
| Receivables |  | 1,139.1 |  | 1,187.3 |
| Inventories |  | 640.0 |  | 619.0 |
| Deferred income taxes |  | 204.8 |  | 208.6 |
| Other current assets |  | 122.3 |  | 118.7 |
| Total current assets |  | 3,436.9 |  | 3,387.5 |
| Property, net of accumulated depreciation of \$1,196.0 and \$1,211.5, respectively |  | 585.0 |  | 587.1 |
| Goodwill |  | 1,005.3 |  | 948.8 |
| Other intangible assets, net |  | 210.4 |  | 209.5 |
| Deferred income taxes |  | 331.6 |  | 351.1 |
| Other assets |  | 150.7 |  | 152.5 |
| Total | \$ | 5,719.9 | \$ | 5,636.5 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREOWNERS' EQUITY |  |  |  |  |
| Current liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Short-term debt | \$ | 236.0 | \$ | 157.0 |
| Accounts payable |  | 474.2 |  | 547.6 |
| Compensation and benefits |  | 178.1 |  | 246.4 |
| Advance payments from customers and deferred revenue |  | 243.0 |  | 204.1 |
| Customer returns, rebates and incentives |  | 174.7 |  | 168.7 |
| Other current liabilities |  | 163.9 |  | 207.8 |
| Total current liabilities |  | 1,469.9 |  | 1,531.6 |
| Long-term debt |  | 905.0 |  | 905.0 |
| Retirement benefits |  | 1,091.0 |  | 1,105.8 |
| Other liabilities |  | 233.5 |  | 242.4 |
| Commitments and contingent liabilities (Note 11) |  |  |  |  |
| Shareowners' equity: |  |  |  |  |
| Common stock (shares issued: 181.4) |  | 181.4 |  | 181.4 |
| Additional paid-in capital |  | 1,431.8 |  | 1,416.7 |
| Retained earnings |  | 4,059.2 |  | 3,858.8 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss |  | $(1,197.1)$ |  | $(1,225.3)$ |
| Common stock in treasury, at cost (shares held: March 31, 2013, 41.7; September 30, 2012, 41.6) |  | $(2,454.8)$ |  | $(2,379.9)$ |
| Total shareowners' equity |  | 2,020.5 |  | 1,851.7 |
| Total | \$ | 5,719.9 | \$ | 5,636.5 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited) (in millions, except per share amounts)

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  | Six Months EndedMarch 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
| Sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Products and solutions | \$ | 1,363.5 | \$ | 1,414.7 | \$ | 2,697.7 | \$ | 2,740.2 |
| Services |  | 159.3 |  | 146.4 |  | 314.3 |  | 294.8 |
|  |  | 1,522.8 |  | 1,561.1 |  | 3,012.0 |  | 3,035.0 |
| Cost of sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Products and solutions |  | (794.2) |  | (839.5) |  | $(1,569.0)$ |  | $(1,592.3)$ |
| Services |  | (112.2) |  | (103.3) |  | (219.3) |  | (205.7) |
|  |  | (906.4) |  | (942.8) |  | $(1,788.3)$ |  | $(1,798.0)$ |
| Gross profit |  | 616.4 |  | 618.3 |  | 1,223.7 |  | 1,237.0 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses |  | (376.8) |  | (373.1) |  | (750.3) |  | (735.5) |
| Other income (expense) |  | 2.8 |  | (6.9) |  | 1.6 |  | (5.3) |
| Interest expense |  | (15.3) |  | (15.0) |  | (30.7) |  | (30.0) |
| Income before income taxes |  | 227.1 |  | 223.3 |  | 444.3 |  | 466.2 |
| Income tax provision |  | (51.2) |  | (55.5) |  | (107.0) |  | (115.1) |
| Net income | \$ | 175.9 | \$ | 167.8 | \$ | 337.3 | \$ | 351.1 |
| Earnings per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 1.25 | \$ | 1.18 | \$ | 2.41 | \$ | 2.47 |
| Diluted | \$ | 1.24 | \$ | 1.16 | \$ | 2.38 | \$ | 2.43 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash dividends per share | \$ | 0.47 | \$ | 0.425 | \$ | 0.94 | \$ | 0.85 |
| Weighted average outstanding shares: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic |  | 140.0 |  | 142.5 |  | 139.6 |  | 142.1 |
| Diluted |  | 141.8 |  | 144.7 |  | 141.4 |  | 144.3 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

## ROCKWELL AUTOMATION, INC.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME <br> (Unaudited) <br> (in millions)

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  | Six Months EndedMarch 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
| Net income | \$ | 175.9 | \$ | 167.8 | \$ | 337.3 | \$ | 351.1 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pension and other postretirement benefit plan adjustments |  | 21.8 |  | 13.6 |  | 43.7 |  | 27.1 |
| Currency translation adjustments |  | (44.0) |  | 44.9 |  | (19.7) |  | (0.9) |
| Net unrealized gains (losses) on cash flow hedges |  | 5.2 |  | (4.1) |  | 4.2 |  | (6.8) |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income |  | (17.0) |  | 54.4 |  | 28.2 |  | 19.4 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ | 158.9 | \$ | 222.2 | \$ | 365.5 | \$ | 370.5 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

## ROCKWELL AUTOMATION, INC.

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited) (in millions)

|  | Six Months EndedMarch 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
| Continuing operations: |  |  |  |  |
| Operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | 337.3 | \$ | 351.1 |
| Adjustments to arrive at cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation |  | 55.1 |  | 50.3 |
| Amortization of intangible assets |  | 16.3 |  | 17.5 |
| Share-based compensation expense |  | 21.2 |  | 22.3 |
| Retirement benefit expense |  | 85.3 |  | 52.5 |
| Pension trust contributions |  | (20.1) |  | (318.4) |
| Net loss on disposition of property and investments |  | 0.1 |  | 0.1 |
| Income tax benefit from the exercise of stock options |  | 1.9 |  | 0.5 |
| Excess income tax benefit from share-based compensation |  | (21.0) |  | (16.8) |
| Changes in assets and liabilities, excluding effects of acquisitions and foreign currency adjustments: |  |  |  |  |
| Receivables |  | 39.7 |  | (86.0) |
| Inventories |  | (19.8) |  | (15.1) |
| Accounts payable |  | (73.7) |  | (4.0) |
| Advance payments from customers and deferred revenue |  | 40.1 |  | 54.5 |
| Compensation and benefits |  | (66.8) |  | (128.3) |
| Income taxes |  | 17.4 |  | 81.2 |
| Other assets and liabilities |  | (43.1) |  | 3.1 |
| Cash provided by operating activities |  | 369.9 |  | 64.5 |


| Investing activities: | (55.0) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Capital expenditures | $(84.8)$ |
| Acquisition of businesses, net of cash acquired | $(188.6)$ |
| Purchases of short-term investments | 175.0 |
| Proceeds from maturities of short-term investments | 0.2 |
| Proceeds from sale of property and investments | $(312.5)$ |
| Other investing activities | - |
| Cash used for investing activities | $(1.9)$ |

## Financing activities:

| Net issuance of short-term debt | 79.0 | 259.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash dividends | (131.6) | (121.0) |
| Purchases of treasury stock | (211.1) | (45.5) |
| Proceeds from the exercise of stock options | 104.4 | 42.0 |
| Excess income tax benefit from share-based compensation | 21.0 | 16.8 |
| Other financing activities | - | (0.2) |
| Cash (used for) provided by financing activities | (138.3) | 151.1 |


| Discontinued operations: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash used for discontinued operating activities |  | (7.0) |  | (0.5) |
| Cash used for discontinued operations |  | (7.0) |  | (0.5) |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents |  | 63.2 |  | (177.1) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period |  | 903.9 |  | 988.9 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ | 967.1 | \$ | 811.8 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS <br> (Unaudited) 

## 1. Basis of Presentation and Accounting Policies

In the opinion of management of Rockwell Automation, Inc. (the Company or Rockwell Automation), the unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contain all adjustments necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented and, except as otherwise indicated, such adjustments consist only of those of a normal recurring nature. These statements should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 . The results of operations for the three and six month periods ended March 31, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results for the full year. All date references to years and quarters herein refer to our fiscal year and fiscal quarter unless otherwise stated.

## Receivables

Receivables are stated net of allowances for doubtful accounts of \$ 25.9 million at March 31, 2013 and \$ 28.0 million at September 30, 2012 . In addition, receivables are stated net of an allowance for certain customer returns, rebates and incentives of \$ 9.6 million at March 31, 2013 and \$ 7.9 million at September 30, 2012 .

## Earnings Per Share

The following table reconciles basic and diluted earnings per share (EPS) amounts (in millions, except per share amounts):

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  | Six Months EndedMarch 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
| Net income | \$ | 175.9 | \$ | 167.8 | \$ | 337.3 | \$ | 351.1 |
| Less: Allocation to participating securities |  | (0.3) |  | (0.3) |  | (0.5) |  | (0.7) |
| Net income available to common shareowners | \$ | 175.6 | \$ | 167.5 | \$ | 336.8 | \$ | 350.4 |
| Basic weighted average outstanding shares |  | 140.0 |  | 142.5 |  | 139.6 |  | 142.1 |
| Effect of dilutive securities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stock options |  | 1.6 |  | 1.9 |  | 1.6 |  | 1.8 |
| Performance shares |  | 0.2 |  | 0.3 |  | 0.2 |  | 0.4 |
| Diluted weighted average outstanding shares |  | 141.8 |  | 144.7 |  | 141.4 |  | 144.3 |
| Earnings per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 1.25 | \$ | 1.18 | \$ | 2.41 | \$ | 2.47 |
| Diluted | \$ | 1.24 | \$ | 1.16 | \$ | 2.38 | \$ | 2.43 |

For the three and six months ended March 31, 2013 , share-based compensation awards for 1.1 million and 1.7 million shares, respectively, were excluded from the diluted EPS calculation because they were antidilutive. For the three and six months ended March 31, 2012, sharebased compensation awards for 1.7 million and 2.1 million shares, respectively, were excluded from the diluted EPS calculation because they were antidilutive.

## ROCKWELL AUTOMATION, INC.

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued) (Unaudited)

## 1. Basis of Presentation and Accounting Policies (continued)

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In March 2013, the FASB issued new guidance related to the release of cumulative translation adjustment related to an entity's investment in a foreign entity. The guidance clarifies that the guidance in Subtopic 830-30, Foreign Currency Matters - Translation of Financial Statements, applies to the release of cumulative translation adjustment into net income when a reporting entity either sells a part or all of its investment in a foreign entity or ceases to have a controlling financial interest in a subsidiary or group of assets that constitute a business within a foreign entity. This guidance is effective for us prospectively for reporting periods beginning October 1, 2014. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2013, the FASB issued new accounting guidance, which requires companies to present, either on the face of the financial statements or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income and the respective line items of net income affected by the reclassification, but only if the amount reclassified is required to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting period. For amounts that are not required to be reclassified in their entirety to net income, companies are required to cross-reference to other disclosures that provide additional detail on those amounts. This guidance is effective for us prospectively for reporting periods beginning October 1, 2013. Other than enhanced disclosures, the adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

## 2. Share-Based Compensation

We recognized $\$ 10.0$ million and $\$ 21.2$ million of pre-tax share-based compensation expense during the three and six months ended March 31 , 2013 , respectively. We recognized $\$ 10.9$ million and $\$ 22.3$ million of pre-tax share-based compensation expense during the three and six months ended March 31, 2012 , respectively. Our annual grant of share-based compensation takes place during the first quarter of each fiscal year. The number of shares granted to employees and non-employee directors and the weighted average fair value per share during the periods presented were (in thousands except per share amounts):

|  | Six Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  |  | 2012 |  |  |
|  | Grants | Wtd. Avg. Share Fair Value |  | Grants |  | . Avg. hare Value |
| Stock options | 1,083 | \$ | 25.17 | 1,383 | \$ | 23.51 |
| Performance shares | 79 |  | 98.15 | 93 |  | 101.57 |
| Restricted stock and restricted stock units | 64 |  | 80.08 | 81 |  | 73.87 |
| Unrestricted stock | 10 |  | 75.20 | 12 |  | 61.97 |

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued) (Unaudited)

## 3. Acquisitions

In October 2012, we acquired certain assets of the medium voltage drives business of Harbin Jiuzhou Electric Co., Ltd. (Harbin) located in Harbin, China. The acquisition strengthened our presence in the Asia-Pacific motor control market by adding significant capabilities in design, engineering and manufacturing of medium voltage drive products. The purchase price of the acquisition was $\$ 84.8$ million . We recorded goodwill of $\$ 71.1$ million attributable to the assembled workforce with the technical expertise in designing, engineering, and manufacturing medium voltage drive products and served market expansion. We assigned the full amount of goodwill to our Control Products \& Solutions segment. None of the goodwill recorded is expected to be deductible for tax purposes.

The fair values and weighted average useful lives that have been assigned to the acquired identifiable intangible assets of this acquisition are (in millions, except useful lives):

|  | Fair Value |  | Wtd. Avg. Useful Life |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Customer relationships | \$ | 7.1 | 10 years |
| Technology |  | 3.0 | 5 years |
| Other intangible assets |  | 1.0 | 5 years |

In March 2012, we acquired certain assets and assumed certain liabilities of SoftSwitching Technologies Corporation (SoftSwitching), an industrial power quality detection and protection systems provider in the United States. The fair value and weighted average useful life that have been assigned to the acquired technology intangible assets of this acquisition are $\$ 3.2$ million and 10 years, respectively. We recorded no goodwill associated with this acquisition.
In May 2011, we purchased a majority stake in the equity of Lektronix Limited and its affiliate (Lektronix), an independent industrial automation repairs and service provider in Europe and Asia. We purchased the remaining minority shares for $\$ 10.9$ million in December 2011.

The results of operations of the acquired businesses have been included in our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations since the dates of acquisition. Pro forma financial information and allocation of the purchase price are not presented as the effects of these acquisitions are not material to our results of operations or financial position.

## 4. Inventories

Inventories consist of (in millions):

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September 30, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Finished goods | \$ | 259.4 | \$ | 262.5 |
| Work in process |  | 162.6 |  | 149.5 |
| Raw materials, parts and supplies |  | 218.0 |  | 207.0 |
| Inventories | \$ | 640.0 | \$ | 619.0 |

## ROCKWELL AUTOMATION, INC.

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued) (Unaudited)

## 5. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the six months ended March 31, 2013 are (in millions):

|  | Architecture \& Software |  | Control <br>  <br> Solutions |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance as of September 30, 2012 | \$ | 387.7 | \$ | 561.1 | \$ | 948.8 |
| Acquisition of businesses |  | - |  | 71.1 |  | 71.1 |
| Translation |  | (4.4) |  | (10.2) |  | (14.6) |
| Balance as of March 31, 2013 | \$ | 383.3 | \$ | 622.0 | \$ | 1,005.3 |

Other intangible assets consist of (in millions):

|  | March 31, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carrying <br> Amount |  | Accumulated Amortization |  | Net |  |
| Amortized intangible assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Computer software products | \$ | 133.3 | \$ | 67.8 | \$ | 65.5 |
| Customer relationships |  | 76.3 |  | 33.2 |  | 43.1 |
| Technology |  | 90.6 |  | 53.3 |  | 37.3 |
| Trademarks |  | 30.2 |  | 13.9 |  | 16.3 |
| Other |  | 22.0 |  | 17.5 |  | 4.5 |
| Total amortized intangible assets |  | 352.4 |  | 185.7 |  | 166.7 |
| Intangible assets not subject to amortization |  | 43.7 |  | - |  | 43.7 |
| Total | \$ | 396.1 | \$ | 185.7 | \$ | 210.4 |


|  | September 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carrying Amount |  | Accumulated Amortization |  | Net |  |
| Amortized intangible assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Computer software products | \$ | 123.4 | \$ | 61.2 | \$ | 62.2 |
| Customer relationships |  | 72.6 |  | 30.7 |  | 41.9 |
| Technology |  | 88.9 |  | 50.9 |  | 38.0 |
| Trademarks |  | 32.1 |  | 12.9 |  | 19.2 |
| Other |  | 21.4 |  | 16.9 |  | 4.5 |
| Total amortized intangible assets |  | 338.4 |  | 172.6 |  | 165.8 |
| Intangible assets not subject to amortization |  | 43.7 |  | - |  | 43.7 |
| Total | \$ | 382.1 | \$ | 172.6 | \$ | 209.5 |

The Allen-Bradley ${ }^{\circledR}$ trademark has an indefinite life, and therefore is not subject to amortization.
Estimated amortization expense is $\$ 32.6$ million in $2013, \$ 34.2$ million in $2014, \$ 28.5$ million in $2015, \$ 24.9$ million in 2016 and $\$ 20.9$ million in 2017 .

We performed the annual evaluation of our goodwill and indefinite life intangible assets for impairment as required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (U.S. GAAP) during the second quarter of 2013 and concluded these assets are not impaired.

## ROCKWELL AUTOMATION, INC.

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued) (Unaudited)

## 6. Short-term Debt

Our short-term debt obligations are comprised of commercial paper borrowings. Commercial paper borrowings outstanding were $\$ 236.0$ million at March 31, 2013 and $\$ 157.0$ million at September 30, 2012 . At March 31, 2013 , the weighted average interest rate and maturity period of the commercial paper outstanding were 0.22 percent and nine days, respectively. At September 30, 2012 , the weighted average interest rate and maturity period of the commercial paper outstanding were 0.27 percent and six days , respectively.

## 7. Other Current Liabilities

Other current liabilities consist of (in millions):

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September 30, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unrealized losses on foreign exchange contracts | \$ | 8.6 | \$ | 21.5 |
| Product warranty obligations |  | 36.4 |  | 37.8 |
| Taxes other than income taxes |  | 35.4 |  | 37.1 |
| Accrued interest |  | 15.6 |  | 15.6 |
| Income taxes payable |  | 6.3 |  | 14.7 |
| Other |  | 61.6 |  | 81.1 |
| Other current liabilities | \$ | 163.9 | \$ | 207.8 |

## 8. Product Warranty Obligations

We record a liability for product warranty obligations at the time of sale to a customer based upon historical warranty experience. Most of our products are covered under a warranty period that runs for twelve months from either the date of sale or installation. We also record a liability for specific warranty matters when they become probable and reasonably estimable. Our product warranty obligations are included in other current liabilities in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Changes in the product warranty obligations for the six months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 are (in millions):

|  | Six Months EndedMarch 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ | 37.8 | \$ | 38.5 |
| Accruals for warranties issued during the current period |  | 15.6 |  | 17.9 |
| Adjustments to pre-existing warranties |  | 0.1 |  | 2.0 |
| Settlements of warranty claims |  | (17.1) |  | (15.7) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ | 36.4 | \$ | 42.7 |

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued) (Unaudited)

## 9. Derivative Instruments and Fair Value Measurement

We use foreign currency forward exchange contracts to manage certain foreign currency risks. We enter into these contracts to offset changes in the amount of future cash flows associated with certain third-party and intercompany transactions denominated in foreign currencies expected to occur within the next two years (cash flow hedges). Certain of our locations have assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than their functional currencies resulting from intercompany loans and other transactions with third parties denominated in foreign currencies. We also enter into foreign currency forward exchange contracts that we do not designate as hedging instruments to offset the transaction gains or losses associated with some of these assets and liabilities.

We value our forward exchange contracts using a market approach. We use a valuation model based on inputs including forward and spot prices for currency and interest rate curves. We did not change our valuation techniques during the six months ended March 31, 2013. The notional values of our forward exchange contracts outstanding at March 31, 2013 were $\$ 878.4$ million , of which $\$ 551.6$ million were designated as cash flow hedges. Currency pairs (buy/sell) comprising the most significant contract notional values were United States dollar (USD)/euro, USD/Canadian dollar, Swiss franc/euro, Swiss franc/USD, USD/Brazilian Real, Singapore dollar/USD, Swiss franc/Canadian dollar, and Mexican peso/USD.

We also use foreign currency denominated debt obligations to hedge portions of our net investments in non-U.S. subsidiaries. The currency effects of the debt obligations are reflected in accumulated other comprehensive loss within shareholders' equity where they offset gains and losses recorded on our net investments globally. At March 31, 2013 we had $\$ 13.7$ million of foreign currency denominated debt designated as net investment hedges.
U.S. GAAP defines fair value as the price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability. U.S. GAAP also classifies the inputs used to measure fair value into the following hierarchy:

Level 1: $\quad$ Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
Level 2: Quoted prices in active markets for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, or inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and their location in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet were (in millions):

| Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value (Level 2) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September 30, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Forward exchange contracts | Other current assets | \$ | 9.7 | \$ | 8.7 |
| Forward exchange contracts | Other assets |  | 1.1 |  | 1.3 |
| Forward exchange contracts | Other current liabilities |  | (3.0) |  | (8.4) |
| Forward exchange contracts | Other liabilities |  | (1.0) |  | (1.5) |
| Total |  | \$ | 6.8 | \$ | 0.1 |


| Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value (Level 2) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { September 30, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Forward exchange contracts | Other current assets | \$ | 1.9 | \$ | 2.3 |
| Forward exchange contracts | Other assets |  | - |  | 0.1 |
| Forward exchange contracts | Other current liabilities |  | (5.6) |  | (13.1) |
| Forward exchange contracts | Other liabilities |  | (1.2) |  | - |
| Total |  | \$ | (4.9) | \$ | (10.7) |

## ROCKWELL AUTOMATION, INC.

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued) (Unaudited)

## 9. Derivative Instruments and Fair Value Measurement (continued)

The pre-tax amount of gains (losses) recorded in other comprehensive income (loss) related to hedges that would have been recorded in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations had they not been so designated was (in millions):

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  | Six Months EndedMarch 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
| Forward exchange contracts (cash flow hedges) | \$ | 8.1 | \$ | (6.8) | \$ | 7.4 | \$ | (8.7) |
| Foreign currency denominated debt (net investment hedges) |  | 0.6 |  | (0.3) |  | 0.5 |  | (0.3) |
| Total | \$ | 8.7 | \$ | (7.1) | \$ | 7.9 | \$ | (9.0) |

Approximately $\$ 6.7$ million ( $\$ 4.2$ million net of tax) of net unrealized gains on cash flow hedges as of March 31,2013 will be reclassified into earnings during the next 12 months. We expect that these net unrealized gains will be offset when the hedged items are recognized in earnings.

The pre-tax amount of gains (losses) reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss into the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations related to derivative forward exchange contracts designated as cash flow hedges, which offset the related (losses) gains on the hedged items during the periods presented, was:

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  | Six Months EndedMarch 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
| Sales | \$ | 0.9 | \$ | - | \$ | 0.5 | \$ | (0.2) |
| Cost of sales |  | (1.0) |  | (0.2) |  | 0.2 |  | 2.5 |
| Total | \$ | (0.1) | \$ | (0.2) | \$ | 0.7 | \$ | 2.3 |

The amount recognized in earnings as a result of ineffective hedges was not significant.
The pre-tax amount of gains (losses) from forward exchange contracts not designated as hedging instruments recognized in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations during the periods presented was:

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  | Six Months EndedMarch 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
| Other income (expense) | \$ | (3.9) | \$ | (10.3) | \$ | (3.6) | \$ | (16.3) |

## ROCKWELL AUTOMATION, INC.

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued) <br> (Unaudited)

## 9. Derivative Instruments and Fair Value Measurement (continued)

We also hold financial instruments consisting of cash, short-term investments, short-term debt and long-term debt. The fair values of our cash, short-term investments and short-term debt approximate their carrying amounts as reported in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet due to the short-term nature of these instruments. We base the fair value of long-term debt upon quoted market prices for the same or similar issues. The following table presents the carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments not measured at fair value in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet (in millions):

|  | March 31, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carrying Amount |  | Fair Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total |  | Level 1 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 3 |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 967.1 | \$ | 967.1 | \$ | 856.9 | \$ | 110.2 | \$ | - |
| Short-term investments |  | 363.6 |  | 363.6 |  | - |  | 363.6 |  | - |
| Short-term debt |  | 236.0 |  | 236.0 |  | - |  | 236.0 |  | - |
| Long-term debt |  | 905.0 |  | 1,141.6 |  | - |  | 1,141.6 |  | - |


|  | September 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carrying Amount |  | Fair Value |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Total |  | Level 1 |  | Level 2 |  | Level 3 |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 903.9 | \$ | 903.9 | \$ | 779.4 | \$ | 124.5 | \$ | - |
| Short-term investments |  | 350.0 |  | 350.0 |  | - |  | 350.0 |  | - |
| Short-term debt |  | 157.0 |  | 157.0 |  | - |  | 157.0 |  | - |
| Long-term debt |  | 905.0 |  | 1,187.9 |  | - |  | 1,187.9 |  | - |

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued) (Unaudited)

## 10. Retirement Benefits

The components of net periodic benefit cost are (in millions):

|  | Pension Benefits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  | Six Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
| Service cost | \$ | 23.0 | \$ | 18.1 | \$ | 46.1 | \$ | 36.0 |
| Interest cost |  | 40.0 |  | 42.0 |  | 80.2 |  | 83.9 |
| Expected return on plan assets |  | (56.4) |  | (57.2) |  | (113.2) |  | (114.2) |
| Amortization: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prior service credit |  | (0.7) |  | (0.6) |  | (1.3) |  | (1.2) |
| Net actuarial loss |  | 36.1 |  | 23.7 |  | 72.4 |  | 47.4 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ | 42.0 | \$ | 26.0 | \$ | 84.2 | \$ | 51.9 |


|  | Other $\begin{array}{c}\text { Postretirement } \\ \text { Benefits }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  | Six Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
| Service cost | \$ | 0.6 | \$ | 0.6 | \$ | 1.2 | \$ | 1.1 |
| Interest cost |  | 1.5 |  | 1.8 |  | 3.1 |  | 3.6 |
| Amortization: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Prior service credit |  | (2.7) |  | (2.7) |  | (5.4) |  | (5.3) |
| Net actuarial loss |  | 1.1 |  | 0.6 |  | 2.2 |  | 1.2 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ | 0.5 | \$ | 0.3 | \$ | 1.1 | \$ | 0.6 |

In October 2011, we made a voluntary contribution of $\$ 300$ million to our U.S. qualified pension plan trust.

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued) (Unaudited)

## 11. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities

Various lawsuits, claims and proceedings have been or may be instituted or asserted against us relating to the conduct of our business, including those pertaining to product liability, environmental, safety and health, intellectual property, employment and contract matters. Although the outcome of litigation cannot be predicted with certainty and some lawsuits, claims or proceedings may be disposed of unfavorably to us, we believe the disposition of matters that are pending or have been asserted will not have a material effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We (including our subsidiaries) have been named as a defendant in lawsuits alleging personal injury as a result of exposure to asbestos that was used in certain components of our products many years ago. Currently there are a few thousand claimants in lawsuits that name us as defendants, together with hundreds of other companies. In some cases, the claims involve products from divested businesses, and we are indemnified for most of the costs. However, we have agreed to defend and indemnify asbestos claims associated with products manufactured or sold by our former Dodge mechanical and Reliance Electric motors and motor repair services businesses prior to their divestiture by us, which occurred on January 31, 2007. We are also responsible for half of the costs and liabilities associated with asbestos cases against the former Rockwell International Corporation's (RIC's) divested measurement and flow control business. But in all cases, for those claimants who do show that they worked with our products or products of divested businesses for which we are responsible, we nevertheless believe we have meritorious defenses, in substantial part due to the integrity of the products, the encapsulated nature of any asbestos-containing components, and the lack of any impairing medical condition on the part of many claimants. We defend those cases vigorously. Historically, we have been dismissed from the vast majority of these claims with no payment to claimants.

We have maintained insurance coverage that we believe covers indemnity and defense costs, over and above self-insured retentions, for claims arising from our former Allen-Bradley subsidiary. Following litigation against Nationwide Indemnity Company (Nationwide) and Kemper Insurance (Kemper), the insurance carriers that provided liability insurance coverage to Allen-Bradley, we entered into separate agreements on April 1, 2008 with both insurance carriers to further resolve responsibility for ongoing and future coverage of Allen-Bradley asbestos claims. In exchange for a lump sum payment, Kemper bought out its remaining liability and has been released from further insurance obligations to Allen-Bradley. Nationwide entered into a cost share agreement with us to pay the substantial majority of future defense and indemnity costs for Allen-Bradley asbestos claims. We believe that this arrangement with Nationwide will continue to provide coverage for Allen-Bradley asbestos claims throughout the remaining life of the asbestos liability.
The uncertainties of asbestos claim litigation make it difficult to predict accurately the ultimate outcome of asbestos claims. That uncertainty is increased by the possibility of adverse rulings or new legislation affecting asbestos claim litigation or the settlement process. Subject to these uncertainties and based on our experience defending asbestos claims, we do not believe these lawsuits will have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

We have, from time to time, divested certain of our businesses. In connection with these divestitures, certain lawsuits, claims and proceedings may be instituted or asserted against us related to the period that we owned the businesses, either because we agreed to retain certain liabilities related to these periods or because such liabilities fall upon us by operation of law. In some instances, the divested business has assumed the liabilities; however, it is possible that we might be responsible to satisfy those liabilities if the divested business is unable to do so.
In connection with the spin-offs of our former automotive component systems business, semiconductor systems business and Rockwell Collins avionics and communications business, the spun-off companies have agreed to indemnify us for substantially all contingent liabilities related to the respective businesses, including environmental and intellectual property matters.
In connection with the sale of our Dodge mechanical and Reliance Electric motors and motor repair services businesses, we agreed to indemnify Baldor Electric Company for costs and damages related to certain legal, legacy environmental and asbestos matters of these businesses arising before January 31, 2007, for which the maximum exposure would be capped at the amount received for the sale.

In many countries we provide a limited intellectual property indemnity as part of our terms and conditions of sale. We also at times provide limited intellectual property indemnities in other contracts with third parties, such as contracts concerning the development and manufacture of our products. As of March 31, 2013, we were not aware of any material indemnification claims that were probable or reasonably possible of an unfavorable outcome. Historically, claims that have been made under the indemnification agreements have not had a material impact on our operating results, financial position or cash flows; however, to the extent that valid indemnification claims arise in the future, future payments by us could be significant and could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or cash flows in a particular period.

## ROCKWELL AUTOMATION, INC.

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued) (Unaudited)

## 12. Income Taxes

At the end of each interim period, we estimate a base effective tax rate that we expect for the full fiscal year based on our most recent forecast of pre-tax income, permanent book and tax differences and global tax planning strategies. We use this base rate to provide for income taxes on a year-to-date basis, excluding the effect of significant unusual or extraordinary items and items that are reported net of their related tax effects. We record the tax effect of significant unusual or extraordinary items and items that are reported net of their tax effects in the period in which they occur.

The effective tax rate for the six months ended March 31, 2013 was 24.1 percent. The effective rate was lower than the U.S. statutory rate of 35 percent primarily because we benefited from lower non-U.S. tax rates.

The amount of gross unrecognized tax benefits was $\$ 69.0$ million ( $\$ 22.0$ million net of offsetting tax benefits) at March 31 , 2013 and $\$ 70.3$ million ( $\$ 23.3$ million net of offsetting tax benefits) at September 30, 2012. These unrecognized tax benefits would reduce our effective tax rate if recognized. Offsetting tax benefits primarily consist of tax receivables that were recorded in other assets and foreign tax credit items that were recorded in deferred income taxes.

There was no material change in the amount of unrecognized tax benefits in the first six months of 2013 . We believe it is reasonably possible that the amount of unrecognized tax benefits could be reduced by up to $\$ 14.5$ million and the amount of offsetting tax benefits could be reduced by up to $\$ 6.4$ million during the next 12 months as a result of the resolution of worldwide tax matters and the lapses of statutes of limitations.

We recognize interest and penalties related to tax matters in tax expense. Accrued interest and penalties were $\$ 20.9$ million and $\$ 20.1$ million at March 31, 2013 and at September 30, 2012 , respectively.

We conduct business globally and are routinely audited by the various tax jurisdictions in which we operate. We are no longer subject to U.S. federal income tax examinations for years before 2009 and are no longer subject to state, local and foreign income tax examinations for years before 2003 .

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued) (Unaudited)

## 13. Business Segment Information

The following tables reflect the sales and operating results of our reportable segments (in millions):

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  | Six Months EndedMarch 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
| Sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Architecture \& Software | \$ | 639.2 | \$ | 664.8 | \$ | 1,296.7 | \$ | 1,315.3 |
| Control Products \& Solutions |  | 883.6 |  | 896.3 |  | 1,715.3 |  | 1,719.7 |
| Total | \$ | 1,522.8 | \$ | 1,561.1 | \$ | 3,012.0 | \$ | 3,035.0 |
| Segment operating earnings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Architecture \& Software | \$ | 169.9 | \$ | 170.6 | \$ | 353.1 | \$ | 359.8 |
| Control Products \& Solutions |  | 115.3 |  | 105.9 |  | 208.1 |  | 208.6 |
| Total |  | 285.2 |  | 276.5 |  | 561.2 |  | 568.4 |
| Purchase accounting depreciation and amortization |  | (5.0) |  | (4.9) |  | (10.2) |  | (9.9) |
| General corporate - net |  | (18.1) |  | (24.5) |  | (36.6) |  | (44.7) |
| Non-operating pension costs ${ }^{1}$ |  | (19.7) |  | (8.8) |  | (39.4) |  | (17.6) |
| Interest expense |  | (15.3) |  | (15.0) |  | (30.7) |  | (30.0) |
| Income before income taxes | \$ | 227.1 | \$ | 223.3 | \$ | 444.3 | \$ | 466.2 |

${ }^{1}$ Beginning in fiscal 2013, we redefined segment operating earnings to exclude non-operating pension costs. Non-operating pension costs were reclassified to a separate line item within the above table for all periods presented. These costs were previously included in the operating earnings of each segment and in general corporate-net. Non-operating pension costs consist of defined benefit plan interest cost, expected return on plan assets, amortization of actuarial gains and losses and the impacts of any plan curtailments or settlements. These components of net periodic benefit cost primarily relate to changes in pension assets and liabilities that are a result of market performance; we consider these costs to be unrelated to the operating performance of our business. We continue to include service cost and amortization of prior service cost in the business segment that incurred the expense as these components of net periodic benefit cost represent the operating cost of providing pension benefits to our employees.

Among other considerations, we evaluate performance and allocate resources based upon segment operating earnings before income taxes, interest expense, costs related to corporate offices, non-operating pension costs, certain nonrecurring corporate initiatives, gains and losses from the disposition of businesses and purchase accounting depreciation and amortization. Depending on the product, intersegment sales within a single legal entity are either at cost or cost plus a mark-up, which does not necessarily represent a market price. Sales between legal entities are at an appropriate transfer price. We allocate costs related to shared segment operating activities to the segments using a methodology consistent with the expected benefit.

## REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareowners of
Rockwell Automation, Inc.
Milwaukee, Wisconsin
We have reviewed the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet of Rockwell Automation, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of March 31, 2013, and the related condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income for the three-month and six month periods ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, and of cash flows for the six -month periods ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 . These condensed consolidated interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our reviews, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to such condensed consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of Rockwell Automation, Inc. and subsidiaries as of September 30, 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, cash flows, shareowners' equity, and comprehensive income for the year then ended (not presented herein); and in our report dated November 19, 2012, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of September 30, 2012 is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

## /s/ DELOITTE \& TOUCHE LLP

Milwaukee, Wisconsin
May 2, 2013

## ROCKWELL AUTOMATION, INC.

## Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

## Results of Operations

## Forward Looking Statement

This Quarterly Report contains statements (including certain projections and business trends) that are "forward looking statements" as defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Words such as "believe", "estimate", "project", "plan", "expect", "anticipate", "will", "intend" and other similar expressions may identify forward looking statements. Actual results may differ materially from those projected as a result of certain risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control, including but not limited to:

- macroeconomic factors, including global and regional business conditions, the availability and cost of capital, the cyclical nature of our customers' capital spending, sovereign debt concerns and currency exchange rates;
- laws, regulations and governmental policies affecting our activities in the countries where we do business;
- the successful development of advanced technologies and demand for and market acceptance of new and existing products;
- the availability, effectiveness and security of our information technology systems;
- competitive products, services and solutions and pricing pressures, and our ability to provide high quality products, services and solutions;
- a disruption of our operations and supply chain due to natural disasters, acts of war, strikes, terrorism, social unrest or other causes;
- our ability to protect confidential information and enforce our intellectual property rights;
- our ability to successfully address claims by taxing authorities in the various jurisdictions where we do business;
- our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel;
- our ability to manage costs related to employee retirement and health care benefits;
- the uncertainties of litigation, including liabilities related to the safety and security of the products, services and solutions we sell or to alleged intellectual property infringements;
- our ability to manage and mitigate the risks associated with our solutions business;
- a disruption of our distribution channels;
- the availability and price of components and materials;
- the successful integration and management of acquired businesses;
- the successful execution of our cost productivity and globalization initiatives; and
- other risks and uncertainties, including but not limited to those detailed from time to time in our Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) filings.

These forward looking statements reflect our beliefs as of the date of filing this report. We undertake no obligation to update or revise any forward looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. See Item 1A, Risk Factors of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 for more information.

## Non-GAAP Measures

The following discussion includes organic sales, total segment operating earnings and margin, Adjusted Income, Adjusted EPS, Adjusted Effective Tax Rate and free cash flow, which are non-GAAP measures. See Supplemental Sales Information for a reconciliation of reported sales to organic sales and a discussion of why we believe this non-GAAP measure is useful to investors. See Results of Operations for a reconciliation of income before income taxes to total segment operating earnings and margin and a discussion of why we believe these nonGAAP measures are useful to investors. See Results of Operations for a reconciliation of income from continuing operations, diluted EPS from continuing operations and effective tax rate to Adjusted Income, Adjusted EPS and Adjusted Effective Tax Rate and a discussion of why we believe these non-GAAP measures are useful to investors. See Financial Condition for a reconciliation of cash flows from operating activities to free cash flow and a discussion of why we believe this non-GAAP measure is useful to investors.

## Overview

We are a leading global provider of industrial automation power, control and information solutions that help manufacturers achieve a competitive advantage for their businesses. Overall demand for our products, services and solutions is driven by:

- investments in manufacturing, including upgrades, modifications and expansions of existing facilities or production lines, and the creation of new facilities or production lines;
- investments in basic materials production capacity, partly in response to higher commodity pricing;
- our customers' needs for productivity and cost reduction, sustainable production (cleaner, safer and more energy efficient), quality assurance and overall global competitiveness;
- industry factors that include our customers' new product introductions, demand for our customers' products or services, and the regulatory and competitive environments in which our customers operate;
- levels of global industrial production and capacity utilization;
- regional factors that include local political, social, regulatory and economic circumstances; and
- the spending patterns of our customers due to their annual budgeting processes and their working schedule.


## Long-term Strategy

Our vision of being the most valued global provider of innovative industrial automation and information products, services and solutions is supported by our growth and performance strategy, which seeks to:

- achieve growth rates in excess of the automation market by expanding our served market and strengthening our competitive differentiation;
- diversify our revenue streams by broadening our portfolio of products, services and solutions, expanding our global presence and serving a wider range of customer applications;
- grow market share by gaining new customers and by capturing a larger share of existing customers' spending;
- enhance our market access by building our channel capability and partner network;
- make acquisitions that serve as catalysts to organic growth by adding complementary technology, expanding our served market, increasing our domain expertise or continuing our geographic diversification;
- deploy human and financial resources to strengthen our technology leadership and our intellectual capital business model; and
- continuously improve quality and customer experience and drive annual cost productivity.

By implementing the strategy above, we seek to achieve our long-term financial goals that include revenue growth of 6-8 percent, double-digit EPS growth, return on invested capital in excess of 20 percent, free cash flow equal to about 100 percent of net income and 60 percent of our revenue outside the U.S, including 30 percent of revenue from emerging markets.

## ROCKWELL AUTOMATION, INC.

## U. S. Industrial Economic Trends

In the second quarter of 2013 , sales to U.S. customers accounted for 51 percent of our total sales. The various indicators we use to gauge the direction and momentum of our served U.S. markets include:

- The Industrial Production Index (Total Index), published by the Federal Reserve, which measures the real output of manufacturing, mining, and electric and gas utilities. The Industrial Production Index is expressed as a percentage of real output in a base year, currently 2007. Historically there has been a meaningful correlation between the changes in the Industrial Production Index and the level of automation investment made by our U.S. customers in their manufacturing base.
- The Manufacturing Purchasing Managers' Index (PMI), published by the Institute for Supply Management (ISM), which is an indicator of the current and near-term state of manufacturing activity in the U.S. According to the ISM, a PMI measure above 50 indicates that the U.S. manufacturing economy is generally expanding while a measure below 50 indicates that it is generally contracting.
- Industrial Equipment Spending, which is an economic statistic compiled by the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA). This statistic provides insight into spending trends in the broad U.S. industrial economy. This measure over the longer term has proven to demonstrate a reasonable correlation with our domestic growth.
- Capacity Utilization (Total Industry), which is an indicator of plant operating activity published by the Federal Reserve. Historically there has been a meaningful correlation between Capacity Utilization and levels of U.S. industrial production.

The table below depicts the trends in these indicators since the quarter ended September 2011 . Improvements in the Industrial Production Index, Industrial Equipment Spending and Capacity Utilization, and the PMI remaining above 50 cause us to expect continued growth in the second half of our fiscal year.

|  | Industrial Production Index | PMI | Industrial Equipment Spending (in billions) | Capacity Utilization (percent) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter ended: |  |  |  |  |
| March 2013 | 98.9 | 51.3 | 203.4 | 78.1 |
| December 2012 | 97.7 | 50.2 | 203.4 | 77.5 |
| Fiscal 2012 |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter ended: |  |  |  |  |
| September 2012 | 97.1 | 51.6 | 198.0 | 77.4 |
| June 2012 | 97.0 | 50.2 | 197.8 | 77.7 |
| March 2012 | 96.3 | 53.3 | 190.7 | 77.6 |
| December 2011 | 95.1 | 52.9 | 196.6 | 77.1 |
| Fiscal 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| Quarter ended: |  |  |  |  |
| September 2011 | 94.0 | 53.2 | 187.0 | 76.7 |

Note: Economic indicators are subject to revisions by the issuing organizations.

## ROCKWELL AUTOMATION, INC.

## Non-U.S. Economic Trends

In the second quarter of 2013 , sales to non-U.S. customers accounted for 49 percent of our total sales. These customers include both indigenous companies and multinational companies with expanding global presence. In addition to the global factors previously mentioned, international demand, particularly in emerging markets, has historically been driven by the strength of the industrial economy in each region, investments in infrastructure and expanding consumer markets.

We use changes in Gross Domestic Product (GDP) and Industrial Production Index as indicators of the growth opportunities in each region where we do business. After a period of moderating growth rates, modest acceleration is expected in the latter half of the year. Industrial markets remain uneven around the world. Western Europe continues to operate in a recessionary environment, showing few signs of improvement throughout the remainder of our fiscal year; while emerging markets in Europe, the Middle East and Africa (EMEA) are expected to continue to exhibit stronger growth. In Asia, China's recovery is slower than expected and India's economy is very weak with no sign of improvement in the near term. In Latin America, Mexico's economy remains strong and Brazil's economy has returned to positive growth. We still expect that emerging markets will be the fastest growing automation markets over the long term.

## ROCKWELL AUTOMATION, INC.

## Summary of Results of Operations

Sales in the second quarter of 2013 decreased 2 percent compared to the second quarter of 2012 . Solid growth in the Americas was offset by declines in EMEA and Asia-Pacific, consistent with underlying market conditions. We delivered strong earnings despite the sales declines, primarily due to strong productivity that more than offset the impact of lower volume.

We continued to execute our key initiatives:

- Sales related to our process initiative declined less than 1 percent in the second quarter of 2013 .
- Logix sales in the second quarter of 2013 were flat year over year.
- Sales in emerging markets decreased 9 percent year over year, or 7 percent organically. Solid growth in Latin America was offset by declines in Asia-Pacific. Emerging markets represented 20 percent of total company sales in the second quarter of 2013

The effective tax rate in the second quarter of 2013 was 22.5 percent compared to 24.9 percent in the second quarter of 2012 . The Adjusted Effective Tax Rate in the second quarter of 2013 was 23.6 percent compared to 25.3 percent in the second quarter of 2012 . We recognized net discrete tax benefits of $\$ 4.8$ million in the second quarter of 2013 , primarily related to the retroactive extension of the U.S. federal research and development tax credit.

The following table reflects our sales and operating results for the three and six months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 (in millions, except per share amounts):

(1) See Note 13 in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for the definition of segment operating earnings.
(2) Total segment operating earnings and total segment operating margin are non-GAAP financial measures. We believe that these measures are useful to investors as measures of operating performance. We use these measures to monitor and evaluate the profitability of our operating segments. Our measures of total segment operating earnings and total segment operating margin may be different from measures used by other companies.
(3) Beginning in fiscal 2013, we redefined segment operating earnings to exclude non-operating pension costs. Non-operating pension costs were reclassified to a separate line item within the above table for all periods presented. These costs were previously included in segment operating earnings and in general corporate-net. We continue to include service cost and amortization of prior service cost in the business segment that incurred the expense as these costs represent the operating cost of providing pension benefits to our employees.

## ROCKWELL AUTOMATION, INC.

Purchase accounting depreciation and amortization and non-operating pension costs are not allocated to our operating segments because these costs are excluded from our measurement of segment's operating performance for internal purposes. The following table reflects purchase accounting depreciation and amortization and non-operating pension costs that are attributable to each of our segments for the three and six months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 (in millions):

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  | Six Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
| Purchase accounting depreciation and amortization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Architecture \& Software | \$ | 1.0 | \$ | 1.2 | \$ | 2.1 | \$ | 2.5 |
| Control Products \& Solutions |  | 3.7 |  | 3.5 |  | 7.5 |  | 6.9 |
| Non-operating pension costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Architecture \& Software |  | 6.9 |  | 2.9 |  | 13.8 |  | 5.8 |
| Control Products \& Solutions |  | 11.7 |  | 5.3 |  | 23.4 |  | 10.5 |

The increases in non-operating pension costs in both segments in the three and six months ended March 31, 2013 were primarily due to the decrease in our U.S. discount rate from 5.20 percent in 2012 to 4.15 percent in 2013 .

## Adjusted Income, Adjusted EPS and Adjusted Effective Tax Rate Reconciliation

Adjusted Income, Adjusted EPS and Adjusted Effective Tax Rate are non-GAAP earnings measures that exclude non-operating pension costs and their related income tax effects. We define non-operating pension costs as defined benefit plan interest cost, expected return on plan assets, amortization of actuarial gains and losses and the impacts of any plan curtailments or settlements. These components of net periodic benefit cost primarily relate to changes in pension assets and liabilities that are a result of market performance; we consider these costs to be unrelated to the operating performance of our business. We believe that Adjusted Income, Adjusted EPS and Adjusted Effective Tax Rate provide useful information to our investors about our operating performance and allow management and investors to compare our operating performance period over period. Our measures of Adjusted Income, Adjusted EPS and Adjusted Effective Tax Rate may be different from measures used by other companies. These non-GAAP measures should not be considered a substitute for income from continuing operations, diluted EPS and effective tax rate.

The following is a reconciliation of income from continuing operations, diluted EPS from continuing operations, and effective tax rate to Adjusted Income, Adjusted EPS and Adjusted Effective Tax Rate for the three and six months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 (in millions, except per share amounts):

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  | Six Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ | 175.9 | \$ | 167.8 | \$ | 337.3 | \$ | 351.1 |
| Non-operating pension costs |  | 19.7 |  | 8.8 |  | 39.4 |  | 17.6 |
| Tax effect of non-operating pension costs |  | (7.1) |  | (3.2) |  | (14.3) |  | (6.3) |
| Adjusted Income | \$ | 188.5 | \$ | 173.4 | \$ | 362.4 | \$ | 362.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diluted EPS from continuing operations | \$ | 1.24 | \$ | 1.16 | \$ | 2.38 | \$ | 2.43 |
| Non-operating pension costs per diluted share, before tax |  | 0.14 |  | 0.06 |  | 0.28 |  | 0.12 |
| Tax effect of non-operating pension costs per diluted share |  | (0.05) |  | (0.02) |  | (0.10) |  | (0.04) |
| Adjusted EPS | \$ | 1.33 | \$ | 1.20 | \$ | 2.56 | \$ | 2.51 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Effective tax rate |  | 22.5\% |  | 24.9\% |  | 24.1\% |  | 24.7\% |
| Tax effect of non-operating pension costs |  | 1.1\% |  | 0.4\% |  | 1.0\% |  | 0.4\% |
| Adjusted Effective Tax Rate |  | 23.6\% |  | 25.3\% |  | 25.1\% |  | 25.1\% |

## Three and Six Months Ended 2013 Compared to Three and Six Months Ended 2012

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in millions, except per share amounts) | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | Change |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | Change |  |
| Sales | \$ | 1,522.8 | \$ | 1,561.1 | \$ | (38.3) | \$ | 3,012.0 | \$ | 3,035.0 | \$ | (23.0) |
| Income before income taxes |  | 227.1 |  | 223.3 |  | 3.8 |  | 444.3 |  | 466.2 |  | (21.9) |
| Diluted EPS |  | 1.24 |  | 1.16 |  | 0.08 |  | 2.38 |  | 2.43 |  | (0.05) |
| Adjusted EPS |  | 1.33 |  | 1.20 |  | 0.13 |  | 2.56 |  | 2.51 |  | 0.05 |

## Sales

Our sales decreased 2 percent and 1 percent in the three and six months ended March 31, 2013 , respectively, compared to the three and six months ended March 31, 2012 . Sales in our solutions and services businesses declined approximately 2 percent in the three months ended March 31, 2013 . Product sales declined approximately 2 percent in the three months ended March 31, 2013. Sales in our solutions and services businesses and product sales were flat in the six months ended March 31, 2013 . Pricing contributed less than 1 percentage point to growth during both periods.

The tables below present our sales, attributed to the geographic regions based upon country of destination, for the three and six months ended March 31, 2013 and the change from the same period a year ago (in millions, except percentages):

|  | Three Months Ended <br> March 31, 2013 |  | Change vs. <br> Three Months Ended <br> March 31, 2012 | Change in Organic Sales vs. <br> Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | \$ | 776.9 | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Canada |  | 116.8 | - \% | $1 \%$ |
| Europe, Middle East and Africa |  | 317.1 | (5)\% | (5)\% |
| Asia-Pacific |  | 188.3 | (19)\% | (18)\% |
| Latin America |  | 123.7 | 2 \% | 6 \% |
| Total Sales | \$ | 1,522.8 | (2)\% | (2)\% |


|  | Six Months Ended March 31, 2013 |  | Change vs. <br> Six Months Ended March <br> 31, 2012 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Change in Organic Sales } \\ \text { vs. } \\ \text { Six Months Ended March } \\ 31,2012 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| United States | \$ | 1,538.0 | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Canada |  | 223.1 | $1 \%$ | - \% |
| Europe, Middle East and Africa |  | 613.2 | (6)\% | (4)\% |
| Asia-Pacific |  | 385.7 | (13)\% | (14)\% |
| Latin America |  | 252.0 | $3 \%$ | 7 \% |
| Total Sales | \$ | 3,012.0 | (1)\% | - \% |

- The United States and Canada performed relatively well in a low growth environment.
- EMEA's sales declined primarily due to the ongoing recession in Western Europe, partially offset by performance in emerging markets with strong growth in the Middle East.
- Asia-Pacific experienced sales declines across the region due to weak market conditions as evidenced by continued project delays.
- Sales growth in Latin America was led by strong growth in Mexico, partially offset by negative impact from currency translation.


## Three and Six Months Ended 2013 Compared to Three and Six Months Ended 2012

## General Corporate - Net

General corporate - net expenses were $\$ 18.1$ million and $\$ 36.6$ million in the three and six months ended March 31 , 2013, respectively, compared to $\$ 24.5$ million and $\$ 44.7$ million in the three and six months ended March 31,2012 , respectively. The decrease is primarily due to a $\$ 6.9$ million charge related to two legacy environmental sites recognized in the three and six months ended March 31, 2012 .

## Income before Income Taxes

Income before income taxes increased 2 percent in the three months ended March 31, 2013, primarily due to an increase in segment operating earnings and lower general corporate-net expenses, partially offset by higher non-operating pension costs. Income before income taxes decreased 5 percent in the six months ended March 31, 2013 , primarily due to a decrease in segment operating earnings and higher nonoperating pension costs, partially offset by lower general corporate-net expenses. Total segment operating earnings increased 3 percent in the three months ended March 31, 2013, with strong productivity, favorable mix and lower variable compensation expense offsetting the impact of lower volume. Total segment operating earnings decreased 1 percent in the six months ended March 31, 2013 as productivity, favorable mix and lower variable compensation expense did not fully offset the impact of lower volume. These factors had a similar impact on operating earnings and operating margin in each segment.

## Income Taxes

The effective tax rate in the second quarter of 2013 was 22.5 percent compared to 24.9 percent in the second quarter of 2012 . Our Adjusted Effective Tax Rate in the second quarter of 2013 was 23.6 percent compared to 25.3 percent in the second quarter of 2012 . We recognized net discrete tax benefits of $\$ 4.8$ million in the second quarter of 2013 , primarily related to the retroactive extension of the U.S. federal research and development tax credit.

The effective tax rate for the first six months of 2013 was 24.1 percent compared to 24.7 percent in the first six months of 2012 . Our Adjusted Effective Tax Rate for both the first six months of 2013 and 2012 was 25.1 percent. We recognized net discrete tax benefits of $\$ 4.4$ million in the first six months of 2013 , primarily related to the retroactive extension of the U.S. federal research and development tax credit.

## Architecture \& Software

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in millions, except percentages) | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | Change |  |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | Change |  |
| Sales | \$ | 639.2 | \$ | 664.8 | \$ | (25.6) |  | \$ | 1,296.7 | \$ | 1,315.3 | \$ | (18.6) |
| Segment operating earnings |  | 169.9 |  | 170.6 |  | (0.7) |  |  | 353.1 |  | 359.8 |  | (6.7) |
| Segment operating margin |  | 26.6\% |  | 25.7\% |  | 0.9 | pts |  | 27.2\% |  | 27.4\% |  | (0.2) pts |

## Sales

Architecture \& Software year-over-year sales decreased 4 percent and 1 percent in the three and six months ended March 31, 2013. Year-overyear organic sales decreased 3 percent and 1 percent in the three and six months ended March 31, 2013, respectively. Currency translation reduced sales by 1 percentage point in the three months ended March 31, 2013 . The sales declines were primarily due to weakness in AsiaPacific. Logix sales were flat in the three months ended March 31, 2013 . Logix sales increased 2 percent in the six months ended March 31, 2013 . The United States was the best performing region for the segment, with flat sales in the three months ended March 31, 2013 and year-over-year sales growth in the six months ended March 31, 2012 . The balance of the regions reported sales declines in the three and six months ended March 31, 2013.

## Operating Margin

Architecture \& Software year-over-year segment operating earnings were flat in the three months ended March 31, 2013. Architecture \& Software year-over-year segment operating earnings declined 2 percent in the six months ended March 31, 2013. Segment operating margin was 26.6 percent and 27.2 percent in the three and six months ended March 31, 2013 , compared to 25.7 percent and 27.4 percent a year ago.

## Control Products \& Solutions

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in millions, except percentages) | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | Change |  |  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  | Change |  |  |
| Sales | \$ | 883.6 | \$ | 896.3 | \$ | (12.7) |  | \$ | 1,715.3 | \$ | 1,719.7 | \$ | (4.4) |  |
| Segment operating earnings |  | 115.3 |  | 105.9 |  | 9.4 |  |  | 208.1 |  | 208.6 |  | (0.5) |  |
| Segment operating margin |  | 13.0\% |  | 11.8\% |  | 1.2 |  |  | 12.1\% |  | 12.1\% |  |  | pts |

## Sales

Control Products \& Solutions year-over-year sales decreased 1 percent in the three months ended March 31, 2013 . Control Products \& Solutions year-over-year sales were flat in the six months ended March 31, 2013. Acquisitions and currency translation had a negligible impact in both periods.

Latin America was the best performing region for the segment in the three and six months ended March 31, 2013. The United States and Canada experienced a solid sales growth in both periods. The balance of the regions reported sales declines in the three and six months ended March 31, 2013.

Operating Margin
Control Products \& Solutions year-over-year segment operating earnings were up 9 percent in the three months ended March 31, 2013 . Control Products \& Solutions year-over-year segment operating earnings were flat in the six months ended March 31, 2013 . Segment operating margin was 13.0 percent and 12.1 percent in the three and six months ended March 31, 2013 , respectively, compared to 11.8 percent and 12.1 percent a year ago.

## ROCKWELL AUTOMATION, INC.

## Financial Condition

The following is a summary of our cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities, as reflected in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows (in millions):

|  | Six Months Ended March 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 |  | 2012 |  |
| Cash provided by (used for): |  |  |  |  |
| Operating activities | \$ | 369.9 | \$ | 64.5 |
| Investing activities |  | (155.1) |  | (388.6) |
| Financing activities |  | (138.3) |  | 151.1 |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash |  | (6.3) |  | (3.6) |
| Cash provided by (used for) continuing operations | \$ | 70.2 | \$ | (176.6) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| The following table summarizes free cash flow (in millions): |  |  |  |  |
| Cash provided by continuing operating activities | \$ | 369.9 | \$ | 64.5 |
| Capital expenditures of continuing operations |  | (55.0) |  | (62.5) |
| Excess income tax benefit from share-based compensation |  | 21.0 |  | 16.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Free cash flow | \$ | 335.9 | \$ | 18.8 |

Our definition of free cash flow, which is a non-GAAP financial measure, takes into consideration capital investments required to maintain the operations of our businesses and execute our strategy. Cash provided by continuing operating activities adds back non-cash depreciation expense to earnings and thus does not reflect a charge for necessary capital expenditures. Our definition of free cash flow excludes the operating cash flows and capital expenditures related to our discontinued operations. Operating, investing and financing cash flows of our discontinued operations are presented separately in our statement of cash flows. U.S. GAAP requires the excess income tax benefit from sharebased compensation to be reported as a financing cash flow rather than as an operating cash flow. We have added this benefit back to our calculation of free cash flow in order to generally classify cash flows arising from income taxes as operating cash flows. In our opinion, free cash flow provides useful information to investors regarding our ability to generate cash from business operations that is available for acquisitions and other investments, service of debt principal, dividends and share repurchases. We use free cash flow as one measure to monitor and evaluate performance. Our definition of free cash flow may differ from definitions used by other companies.

Cash provided by operating activities was $\$ 369.9$ million for the six months ended March 31, 2013 compared to $\$ 64.5$ million for the six months ended March 31, 2012 . Free cash flow was a source of $\$ 335.9$ million for the six months ended March 31, 2013 compared to a source of $\$ 18.8$ million for the six months ended March 31, 2012. The increase in the cash flow provided by operating activities and the increase in free cash flow are primarily due to the absence of a $\$ 300$ million discretionary pre-tax contribution to ou r U.S. qualified pension trust.

We repurchased approximately 2.6 million shares of our common stock under our share repurchase program in the first six months of 2013 . The total cost of these shares was $\$ 213.7$ million, of which $\$ 10.2$ million was recorded in accounts payable at March 31,2013 related to 120,000 shares that did not settle until April 2013. We also paid $\$ 7.6$ million in the first quarter of 2013 for unsettled share repurchases outstanding at September 30, 2012. We repurchased approximately 0.6 million shares of our common stock in the first six months of 2012 . The total cost of these shares was $\$ 48.6$ million , of which $\$ 4.8$ million was recorded in accounts payable at March 31,2012 related to 60,000 shares that did not settle until April 2012. We also paid $\$ 1.7$ million in the first quarter of 2012 for unsettled share repurchases outstanding at September 30, 2011 . Our decision to repurchase additional stock in the remainder of 2013 will depend on business conditions, free cash flow generation, other cash requirements and stock price. At March 31, 2013, we had approximately $\$ 723.0$ million remaining for stock repurchases under our existing board authorization. See Part II, Item 2, Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds, for additional information regarding share repurchases.

We expect future uses of cash to include working capital requirements, capital expenditures, additional contributions to our retirement plans, acquisitions of businesses, dividends to shareowners, repurchases of common stock and repayments of debt. We expect capital expenditures in 2013 to be about [ $\$ 150$ million]. We expect to fund future uses of cash with a combination of existing cash balances and short-term investments, cash generated by operating activities, commercial paper borrowings or a new issuance of debt or other securities.

## Financial Condition - (Continued)

Given our extensive international operations, significant amounts of our cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments (funds) are held by non-U.S. subsidiaries where our undistributed earnings are permanently reinvested. Generally, these funds would be subject to U.S. tax if repatriated. The percentage of such non-U.S. funds can vary from quarter to quarter with a range of approximately 80 percent to 90 percent over the past eight quarters. As of March 31, 2013 , approximately 90 percent of our funds were held in such non-U.S. subsidiaries. We have not encountered and do not expect to encounter any difficulty meeting the liquidity requirements of our domestic and international operations.

In addition to cash generated by operating activities, we have access to existing financing sources, including the public debt markets and unsecured credit facilities with various banks. Commercial paper is our principal source of short-term financing. At March 31, 2013, commercial paper borrowings outstanding were $\$ 236.0$ million, with a weighted average interest rate of 0.22 percent. At September 30, 2012, commercial paper borrowings outstanding were $\$ 157.0$ million, with a weighted average interest rate of 0.27 percent . Our debt-to-total-capital ratio was 36.1 percent at March 31, 2013 and 36.4 percent at September 30, 2012.

At March 31, 2013 and September 30, 2012, our total current borrowing capacity under our unsecured revolving credit facility, which expires in March 2015, was $\$ 750.0$ million. We have not borrowed against this credit facility at March 31, 2013 or September 30, 2012. Borrowings under this credit facility bear interest based on short-term money market rates in effect during the period the borrowings are outstanding. The terms of this credit facility contain covenants under which we would be in default if our debt-to-total-capital ratio was to exceed 60 percent. We were in compliance with all covenants under this credit facility at March 31, 2013 and September 30, 2012. Separate short-term unsecured credit facilities of approximately $\$ 119.7$ million at March 31, 2013 were available to non-U.S. subsidiaries.

Among other uses, we can draw on our credit facility as a standby liquidity facility to repay our outstanding commercial paper as it matures. This access to funds to repay maturing commercial paper is an important factor in maintaining the short-term credit ratings set forth in the table below. Under our current policy with respect to these ratings, we expect to limit our other borrowings under our credit facility, if any, to amounts that would leave enough credit available under the facility so that we could borrow, if needed, to repay all of our then outstanding commercial paper as it matures.

The following is a summary of our credit ratings as of March 31, 2013 :

| Credit Rating Agency |  | Short-Term <br> Rating |  | Long-Term <br> Rating | Outlook |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Our ability to access the commercial paper market, and the related costs of these borrowings, is affected by the strength of our credit ratings and market conditions. We have not experienced any difficulty in accessing the commercial paper market to date. If our access to the commercial paper market is adversely affected due to a change in market conditions or otherwise, we would expect to rely on a combination of available cash and our unsecured committed credit facility to provide short-term funding. In such event, the cost of borrowings under our unsecured committed credit facility could be higher than the cost of commercial paper borrowings.

We regularly monitor the third-party depository institutions that hold our cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments. Our emphasis is primarily on safety and liquidity of principal and secondarily on maximizing yield on those funds. We diversify our cash and cash equivalents among counterparties to minimize exposure to any one of these entities.

We enter into contracts to offset changes in the amount of future cash flows associated with certain third-party and intercompany transactions denominated in foreign currencies forecasted to occur within the next two years and to offset transaction gains or losses associated with some of our assets and liabilities that are denominated in currencies other than their functional currencies resulting from intercompany loans and other transactions with third parties denominated in foreign currencies. Our foreign currency forward exchange contracts are usually denominated in currencies of major industrial countries. We diversify our foreign currency forward exchange contracts among counterparties to minimize exposure to any one of these entities.

Information with respect to our contractual cash obligations is contained in Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 . We believe that at March 31, 2013 , there has been no material change to this information.

## Supplemental Sales Information

We translate sales of subsidiaries operating outside of the United States using exchange rates effective during the respective period. Therefore, changes in currency exchange rates affect our reported sales. Sales by businesses we acquired also affect our reported sales. We believe that organic sales, defined as sales excluding the effects of changes in currency exchange rates and acquisitions, which is a non-GAAP financial measure, provides useful information to investors because it reflects regional and operating segment performance from the activities of our businesses without the effect of changes in currency exchange rates and acquisitions. We use organic sales as one measure to monitor and evaluate our regional and operating segment performance. We determine the effect of changes in currency exchange rates by translating the respective period's sales using the same currency exchange rates that were in effect during the prior year. When we acquire businesses, we exclude sales in the current period for which there are no comparable sales in the prior period. Organic sales growth is calculated by comparing organic sales to reported sales in the prior year. We attribute sales to the geographic regions based on the country of destination.

The following is a reconciliation of our reported sales to organic sales (in millions):

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Three <br> Months <br> Ended March 31, 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sales |  | Effect of Changes in Currency |  | Sales <br> Excluding Effect of Changes in Currency |  | Effect of Acquisitions |  | Organic Sales |  |  | Sales |
| United States | \$ | 776.9 | \$ | (0.2) | \$ | 776.7 | \$ | (0.6) | \$ | 776.1 | \$ | 757.7 |
| Canada |  | 116.8 |  | 1.0 |  | 117.8 |  | - |  | 117.8 |  | 116.3 |
| Europe, Middle East and Africa |  | 317.1 |  | 0.2 |  | 317.3 |  | - |  | 317.3 |  | 334.2 |
| Asia-Pacific |  | 188.3 |  | 1.3 |  | 189.6 |  | (0.6) |  | 189.0 |  | 231.7 |
| Latin America |  | 123.7 |  | 4.6 |  | 128.3 |  | - |  | 128.3 |  | 121.2 |
| Total Company Sales | \$ | 1,522.8 | \$ | 6.9 | \$ | 1,529.7 | \$ | (1.2) | \$ | 1,528.5 | \$ | 1,561.1 |


|  | Six Months Ended March 31, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended March 31, 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sales |  | Effect of Changes in Currency |  | Sales <br> Excluding Effect of Changes in Currency |  | Effect of Acquisitions |  | Organic Sales |  | Sales |  |
| United States | \$ | 1,538.0 | \$ | (1.1) | \$ | 1,536.9 | \$ | (2.1) | \$ | 1,534.8 | \$ | 1,475.3 |
| Canada |  | 223.1 |  | (2.2) |  | 220.9 |  | - |  | 220.9 |  | 221.5 |
| Europe, Middle East and Africa |  | 613.2 |  | 13.0 |  | 626.2 |  | - |  | 626.2 |  | 649.2 |
| Asia-Pacific |  | 385.7 |  | (0.9) |  | 384.8 |  | (2.3) |  | 382.5 |  | 444.9 |
| Latin America |  | 252.0 |  | 8.0 |  | 260.0 |  | - |  | 260.0 |  | 244.1 |
| Total Company Sales | \$ | 3,012.0 | \$ | 16.8 | \$ | 3,028.8 | \$ | (4.4) | \$ | 3,024.4 | \$ | 3,035.0 |

## ROCKWELL AUTOMATION, INC.

The following is a reconciliation of our reported sales by operating segment to organic sales (in millions):

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Three <br> Months <br> Ended March $31,2012$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sales |  | Effect of Changes in Currency |  | Sales Excluding Effect of Changes in Currency |  | Effect of Acquisitions |  | Organic Sales |  | Sales |  |
| Architecture \& Software | \$ | 639.2 | \$ | 2.7 | \$ | 641.9 | \$ | - | \$ | 641.9 | \$ | 664.8 |
| Control Products \& Solutions |  | 883.6 |  | 4.2 |  | 887.8 |  | (1.2) |  | 886.6 |  | 896.3 |
| Total Company Sales | \$ | 1,522.8 | \$ | 6.9 | \$ | 1,529.7 | \$ | (1.2) | \$ | 1,528.5 | \$ | 1,561.1 |


|  | Six Months Ended March 31, 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended March 31, 2012 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sales |  | Effect of Changes in Currency |  | Sales <br> Excluding Effect of Changes in Currency |  | Effect of Acquisitions |  | Organic Sales |  | Sales |  |
| Architecture \& Software | \$ | 1,296.7 | \$ | 9.3 | \$ | 1,306.0 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,306.0 | \$ | 1,315.3 |
| Control Products \& Solutions |  | 1,715.3 |  | 7.5 |  | 1,722.8 |  | (4.4) |  | 1,718.4 |  | 1,719.7 |
| Total Company Sales | \$ | 3,012.0 | \$ | 16.8 | \$ | 3,028.8 | \$ | (4.4) | \$ | 3,024.4 | \$ | 3,035.0 |

## ROCKWELL AUTOMATION, INC.

## Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

We have prepared the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, which require us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and revenues and expenses during the periods reported. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Information with respect to our critical accounting policies that we believe could have the most significant effect on our reported results or require subjective or complex judgments by management is contained in Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 . We believe that at March 31, 2013 , there has been no material change to this information.

## Environmental

Information with respect to the effect on us and our manufacturing operations of compliance with environmental protection requirements and resolution of environmental claims is contained in Note 17 of the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 . We believe that at March 31, 2013 , there has been no material change to this information.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 1 in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements regarding recent accounting pronouncements.

## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Information with respect to our exposure to interest rate risk and foreign currency risk is contained in Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012. We believe that at March 31, 2013 , there has been no material change to this information.

## Item 4 . Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures: We, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act)) as of the end of the fiscal quarter covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of the fiscal quarter covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting: There has not been any change in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f)) during the fiscal quarter to which this report relates that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

As previously disclosed, we are in the process of developing and implementing common global process standards and an enterprise-wide information technology system. Additional implementations will occur at the remaining locations of our company throughout fiscal 2013-2014.

## ROCKWELL AUTOMATION, INC.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Information with respect to our legal proceedings is contained in Item 3, Legal Proceedings, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 . We believe that at March 31, 2013 , there has been no material change to this information.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

Information about our most significant risk factors is contained in Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2012 . We believe that at March 31, 2013 there has been no material change to this information.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

## Share Repurchases

The table below sets forth information with respect to purchases made by or on behalf of us of shares of our common stock during the three months ended March 31, 2013 :

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased ${ }^{(1)}$ | Average Price Paid Per Share ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Maximum Approx. Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs ${ }^{(3)}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January 1-31, 2013 | 13,200 | \$ | 84.93 | 13,200 | \$ | 847,770,479 |
| February 1-28, 2013 | 629,522 |  | 90.37 | 626,982 |  | 791,110,073 |
| March 1-31, 2013 | 776,000 |  | 87.79 | 776,000 |  | 722,984,832 |
| Total | 1,418,722 |  | 88.91 | 1,416,182 |  |  |

(1) All of the shares purchased during the quarter ended March 31, 2013 were acquired pursuant to the repurchase program described in (3) below, except for 2,540 shares that were acquired in February 2013 in connection with stock swap exercises of employee stock options.
(2) Average price paid per share includes brokerage commissions.
(3) On June 7, 2012, the Board of Directors authorized us to expend up to $\$ 1.0$ billion to repurchase shares of our common stock. Our repurchase program allows management to repurchase shares at its discretion. However, during quarterly "quiet periods," defined as the period of time from quarter-end until two business days following the furnishing of our quarterly earnings results to the SEC on Form 8-K, shares are repurchased at our broker's discretion pursuant to a share repurchase plan subject to price and volume parameters.

## ROCKWELL AUTOMATION, INC.

## Item 6. Exhibits

(a) Exhibits:

Exhibit 15 - Letter of Deloitte \& Touche LLP regarding Unaudited Financial Information.
Exhibit 31.1 - Certification of Periodic Report by the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
Exhibit 31.2 - Certification of Periodic Report by the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
Exhibit 32.1 - Certification of Periodic Report by the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Exhibit 32.2 - Certification of Periodic Report by the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Exhibit 101 - Interactive Data Files

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ROCKWELL AUTOMATION, INC.
(Registrant)

Date: May 2, 2013

Date: May 2, 2013
By /s/ T HEODORE D. C RANDALL
Theodore D. Crandall
Senior Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)
By /s/ D AVID M. D ORGAN
David M. Dorgan
Vice President and Controller
(Principal Accounting Officer)

## INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit No. Exhibit
15 Letter of Deloitte \& Touche LLP regarding Unaudited Financial Information.
31.1 Certification of Periodic Report by the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
31.2 Certification of Periodic Report by the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
32.1 Certification of Periodic Report by the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2 Certification of Periodic Report by the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

101
Interactive Data Files.

May 2, 2013
Rockwell Automation, Inc.
1201 South Second Street
Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53204

We have reviewed, in accordance with the standards of the Public Compa ny Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the unaudited interim financial information of Rockwell Automation, Inc. and subsidiaries for the three-month and six-month periods ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 , as indicated in our report dated May 2, 2013 ; because we did not perform an audit, we expressed no opinion on that information.

We are aware that our report referred to above, which is included in your Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 , is incorporated by reference in Registration Statement Nos. 333-38444, 333-101780, 333-113041, 333-149581, 333-150019, 333157203, 333-165727, 333-180557, and 333-184400 on Form S-8 and Registration Statement Nos. 333-24685, 333-43071 and 333-147658 on Form S-3.

We also are aware that the aforementioned report, pursuant to Rule 436(c) under the Securities Act of 1933, is not considered a part of the Registration Statement prepared or certified by an accountant or a report prepared or certified by an accountant within the meaning of Sections 7 and 11 of that Act.

## /s/ DELOITTE \& TOUCHE LLP

Milwaukee, Wisconsin

## CERTIFICATION

I, Keith D. Nosbusch, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Rockwell Automation, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 2, 2013
/s/ K EITH D. N OSBUSCH
Keith D. Nosbusch
Chairman, President and
Chief Executive Officer

## CERTIFICATION

I, Theodore D. Crandall, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Rockwell Automation, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 2, 2013

## / S / T heodore D. C randall

Theodore D. Crandall
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

## CERTIFICATION OF PERIODIC REPORT

I, Keith D. Nosbusch, Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Rockwell Automation, Inc. (the "Company"), hereby certify pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, that:
(1) the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
(2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: May 2, 2013
/ S / K EITH D. N OSBUSCH
Keith D. Nosbusch
Chairman, President and
Chief Executive Officer

## CERTIFICATION OF PERIODIC REPORT

I, Theodore D. Crandall, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Rockwell Automation, Inc. (the "Company"), hereby certify pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, that:
(1) the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of the Company for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
(2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: May 2, 2013
/ S / T heodore D. C randall
Theodore D. Crandall
Senior Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer

